# Coding Style

1

- Developers read code much more than they write code
- In the long run, there is no "your code" and "my code"
- Often, the code is the only documentation of the design
- Common coding style/coding standard is necessary

# Essential Parts of Coding Style

- Indentation
- Newlines
- Whitespace
- Comments/DocStrings
- Naming conventions

# Characteristics of a Coding Style

- Consistency does our code adhere to regular expected stylistic patterns
- Scalability does the chosen style work as the size of the code increases
- Maintainability does the style support clarity in the code such that making changes or bug fixes can be done efficiently

#### Inconsistency

1	
2	<pre>def named_greeting(name):</pre>
3	<pre>print(f"Hello {name}!")</pre>
4	
5	
6	<pre>def shoutNamedGreeting(name):</pre>
7	<pre>print(f"Hello {name.upper()}")</pre>
8	
9	<pre>def main():</pre>
10	Firstperson="Maya"
11	<pre>second_person ="Addison"</pre>
12	<pre>named_greeting(Firstperson)</pre>
13	<pre>shoutNamedGreeting(second_person)</pre>
14	
15	
16	
17	<pre>ifname == "main":</pre>
18	
19	
20	main()
21	
22	
23	

Scalability

/\* NOW INITIALIZATION TO FILL DUMMY LEVELS, TOP LEVEL, AND UNUSED PART OF TOP\*/ /\* LEVEL AS REQUIRED. \*/ (7) INIT: MINF= (48) '0'B; PINF= (48) '1'B: DO L =0 TO 4094; T(L) = MINP;END: DO L= 0 TO 2499; T(L+4095) = V(L): END: DO L=6595 TO 8190; T(L) = PINP:END: (10) (8) KO: K = -1: (11)K1: I = 0:/\* <----| \*/ K3: J = 2\*I+1;/\*SET J TO SCAN BRANCHES FROM NODE I. \*/ K7: IF  $T(J) \le T(J+1)$ /\*PICK SMALLER BRANCH \*/ THEN /\* 12 111 \*/ (9) DO; /\* 111 \*/ K11: T(I) = T(J); /\*REPLACE111 \*/ K13: IF T(I) = PINP THEN GO TO K16; /\*IF INFINITY, REPLACEMENT +∞ \*/ /\* IS FINISHED 1111 \*/ K12: I = J: /\*SET INDEX FOR HIGHER LEVEL 1111 \*/ END: /\* 1111 \*/ ELSE /\* <---+-11 \*/ DO: /\* 11 \*/ K11A: T(I) = T(J+1); /\*\*/ K13A: IF T(I) = PINF THEN GO TO K16; /\* \*/ K12A: I = J+1:/\* \*/ END; /\* \*/ K14: IF 2\*I < 8191 THEN GO TO K3: /\*GO BACK IF NOT ON TOP LEVEL ----+-11 K15: T(I) = PINF: /\*IF TOP LEVEL, FILL WITH INFINITY \*/ K16: IF T(0) = PINF THEN RETURN; /\*TEST END OF SORT <---\*/ K17: IF T(0) = MINF THEN GO TO K1: /\*FLUSH OUT INITIAL DUMMIES \*/ K18: K = K+1:/\*STEP STORAGE INDEX \*/ V(K) = T(0);/\*STORE OUTPUT ITEM GO TO K1; (12) --1 \*/ END QLTSRT7:

### Scalability

auto value=\*begin; // pivot value is the first element

```
auto left = begin;
auto right= std::prev(end);
while (std::distance(left,right)>0) {
```

```
// move left-to-right while value is greater than elements
while(value>= *left && std::distance(left, end) > 0){
    if (std::next(left) == end)
        break;
```

```
left=std::next(left);
```

```
}
```

// move right-to-left while value is less than elements
while (value <\*right)
{</pre>

```
right= std::prev(right);
```

}

// exchange so elements less than value are on the left
// and elements greater than value are on the right
 std::swap(\*left, \*right);

}

std::swap(\*begin,\*right); // exchange pivot and final location

// post-condition

assert(std::all\_of(begin, right, [right](int n){ return n <= \*right; }));
assert(std::all\_of(right, end, [right](int n){ return n >= \*right; }));

7

# Difficult to Maintain

/**************************************	<
* *	\$
* myCoolFunction *	~
* *	\$
* This is my cool function. Isn't it cool? *	¢
* *	\$
*****	:/
/*	
* My Cool Function	
*	
* This is my cool function. Isn't it cool?	
*	
*/	

# Difficult to Maintain

(2) QLTSRT7: PROCEDURE (V); /\*A SORT SUBRJUTINE FOR 2500 6-BYTE FIELDS, PASSED AS THE VECTOR V. A /\*SEPARATELY COMPILED, NOT-MAIN PROCEDURE, WHICH MUST USE AUTOMATIC CORE \*/ /\*ALLOCATION. \*/ /\* \*/ /\*THE SORT ALGORITHM FOLLOWS BROOKS AND IVERSON, AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING, \*/ /\*PROGRAM 7.23, P. 350. THAT ALGORITHM IS REVISED AS FOLLOWS: \*/ /\* STEPS 2-12 ARE SIMPLIFIED FOR M=2. \*/ /\* STEP 18 IS EXPANDED TO HANDLE EXPLICIT INDEXING OF THE OUTPUT VECTOR. \*/ /\* THE WHOLE FIELD IS USED AS THE SORT KEY. \*/ /\* MINUS INFINITY IS REPRESENTED BY ZEROS. \*/ /\* PLUS INFINITY IS REPRESENTED BY ONES. \*/ /\* THE STATEMENT NUMBERS IN PROG. 7.23 ARE REPLECTED IN THE STATEMENT \*/ /\* LABELS OF THIS PROGRAM. \*/ AN IF-THEN-ELSE CONSTRUCTION REQUIRES REPETITION OF A FEW LINES. /\* \*/ /\* \*/ /\*TO CHANGE THE DIMENSION OF THE VECTOR TO BE SORTED, ALWAYS CHANGE THE \*/ /\*INITIALIZATION OF T. IF THE SIZE EXCEEDS 4096, CHANGE THE SIZE OF T, TOO. \*/ /\*A MORE GENERAL VERSION WOULD PARAMETERIZE THE DIMENSION OF V. \*/ /\* \*/ /\*THE PASSED INPUT VECTOR IS REPLACED BY THE REORDERED OUTPUT VECTOR. \*/

(6) /\* LEGEND (ZERO-ORIGIN INDEXING)

(1) //QLT4 JOB ...

(3)

(4)

(5)

\*/

DECLARE		
(H,	/*INDEX FOR INITIALIZING T	*/
Ι,	/*INDEX OF ITEM TO BE REPLACED	*/
J,	/*INITIAL INDEX OF BRANCHES FROM NODE I	*/
K) BINARY FIXED,	/*INDEX IN OUTPUT VECTOR	*/
(MINF,	/*MINUS INFINITY	*/
PINF) BIT (48),	/*PLUS INFINITY	*/
V (*) BIT (*),	/*PASSED VECTOR TO BE SORTED AND RETURNED	*/
T (0:8190) BIT (48);	/*WORKSPACE CONSISTING OF VECTOR TO BE SORTED, FIL	LED*/
	/*OUT WITH INFINITIES, PRECEDED BY LOWER LEVELS	*/
	/*FILLED UP WITH MINUS INFINITIES	*/

# Problems with a Coding Standard

- Lack of formal training
- Programming language differences
- Difficult to formally define/check/correct
- Difficult to maintain
- Lots of corner cases
- Preference arguments
- "bike shed painting"
- NOTE: Python helps to alleviate some of these issues with PEP 8, but it still allows enough room for judgement calls

#### Indentation and Whitespace

- Indentation must be consistent
- Indentation should appear consistent, even when moved out of the IDE, e.g., Gists, web examples etc.
- Indentation is based on "flow of control", not importance/difficulty

### Indentation Composition

- How much to indent for each level? 2? 4? 8?
  - PEP 8 says 4
- What is the indentation made up of? spaces? tabs? tabs/spaces?
  - PEP 8 says spaces
- Problem: Most of the time, can't tell by looking
- Problem: Lack of understanding of what a tab (and tab stop) is.
- Confusion: Developers who use spaces to indent often have the editor expand tabs to spaces

#### Inconsistent Indentation

- Don't mix tabs and spaces for the indentation on different lines or the same line (with Python this is actually a problem for the interpreter)
- Many of the advantages of tabs have been replaced by IDE features.
  - Just use spaces <u>llvm coding standard</u>, especially with code that may get used in multiple projects
- If you are going to use tabs, only use them for flow-of-control indentation, and not to line things up
- Indentation level: 4 is PEP 8 but Google is 2...why might that be?

#### Inconsistent Indentation

```
1 # PEP 8
2 foo = long_function_name(var_one, var_two,
3 | | | | | | | | var_three, var_four)
4
```

# PEP 8
foo = long\_function\_name(
 var\_one, var\_two,
 var\_three, var\_four)

#### Newlines

- Single newlines are sufficient to break up related code content
  - PEP 8 even states that each Python file must end with one blank newline
- Multiple newlines are not needed, except in the case of function definitions
  - PEP 8 dictates two newlines before each function definition
- Again, consistency

#### Whitespace

#### area = width \* height;

- Spaces before parentheses
  - For control flow statements
  - NOT for functions
    - print("hello") not print ("hello")
- Space around operators
  - PEP 8 States: assignment, comparison, and Boolean operators
  - Arithmetic operators is officially left to person preference (BUT BE CONSISTENT)

#### Comments

- Code is written in paragraphs, chunks/hunks of code
- Comments appear before, indented the same, space after "#"
- Prefer line comments
- Use of DocStrings for File Headings/Classes/Functions
  - There are multiple styles of DocString markup
    - I use Google, but ReST is also popular

#### Summary

- Consistency, Consistency, Consistency
- Coding styles often reflect a compromise
- With existing code, adapt to the coding style of the existing code/project
- Be open to updating your coding style over time
- There are much more important things in a project than minor coding style decisions