CS 200: Annotated Bibliography Rubric

	Target (full credit)	**Needs improvement** (partial credit)	**Unacceptable** (no credit)
Quantity of Sources [4 pt]	The bibliography cites the number of assignments as specified in the assignment.	The bibliography is one source under the number specified in the assignment.	The bibliography is two or more sources under the number specified in the assignment..
Formatting [2 pts]	The page is formatting correctly according to the provided template.	The page is mostly formatted correctly according to the provided template, with minor spacing/formatting errors.	The template is not used or there are major formatting discrepancies.
Citations [2 pts]	All sources are present and correctly cited.	Most sources are present and correctly cited.	Most sources are missing or incorrectly cited.
Quality and Reliability of Sources [4 pts]	All sources cited can be considered reliable and trustworthy.	Most sources cited can be considered reliable and trustworthy.	Many sources cited are not reliable or trustworthy.
Fluency of annotations [4 pts]	All annotations are thoughtful and complete. Summaries are accurate, the evaluation addresses validity of the source and usefulness for assignment is included in every source.	Some annotations are well-written, but are lacking in completeness. One component of the annotation is missing in many citations.	Most annotations are lacking in completeness, thought, and/or writing quality. Little to no information specific to the source is included.
Composition [4 pts]	The deliverable was well organized, clearly written, and avoids vague statements. Words were chosen that precisely expressed the intended meaning and supported reader comprehension. There are no spelling, grammatical, nor punctuation errors.	The deliverable was organized and clearly written for the most part. There were many generic statements, or it was too short. Words were well chosen with some minor expectations. There are a few spelling, grammatical, or punctuation errors.	Annotations are missing or are not coherent. Uses long, rambling, or run-on sentences. There are many spelling, grammatical, and/or punctuation errors. You are required to consult the Writing Center for future assignments

Future steps (circle all that apply):

- Do not use Wikipedia as a cited source. It may give you an idea of where to find primary sources, but it is not a primary source.
- There are not enough reputable sources which are peer-reviewed, such as journal articles, conference papers, or textbooks.
- In-line citations are incorrectly formatted. Refer to guidelines.
- Some references are incorrectly formatted, such as incorrect capitalization, inconsistent details (e.g., some citations provide a month and year and others only specify a year), or missing details (e.g., year, author, title).
- Meet with the Writing Center before submitting future writing assignments.
- Meet with a TA to discuss the above steps.
- Meet with your professor to discuss the above steps.
- Other: